

**TITLE: MINTERNE WAYE, HAYES – PETITION  
OBJECTING TO PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS****ITEM #****Cabinet Portfolio**

Planning and Transportation

**Report Author**

Steve Austin

**Papers with report**

Appendix A

**HEADLINE INFORMATION****Purpose of report**

To inform the Cabinet Member that a petition with more than 20 signatures has been presented to the Council objecting to proposed waiting restrictions around the bend in Minterne Waye.

**Contribution to our  
plans and strategies**

The Council is required to consider all objections to proposed Traffic Regulation Orders before making a final decision.

**Financial Cost**

There are none associated with the recommendations to this report.

**Relevant Policy  
Overview Committee**

Residents' and Environmental Services

**Ward(s) affected**

Yeading

**RECOMMENDATION****That the Cabinet Member**

- 1. Discusses with petitioners their concerns with the loss of parking around the bend in Minterne Waye where waiting restrictions are proposed.**
- 2. Subject to 1 above, asks officers to take the petition into consideration when preparing the formal report on representations received from statutory consultation on the proposals which will be submitted to the Cabinet Member for a decision on whether the proposals proceed to implementation.**

**INFORMATION****Reasons for recommendation**

The Council is required to consider all objections to proposed Traffic Regulation Orders. Following the Cabinet Members discussion with petitioners their comments can be included in

the formal report to the Cabinet Member detailing all representations received from statutory consultation.

### **Alternative options considered**

These may rise from the Cabinet Members discussions with petitioners.

### **Comments of Policy Overview Committee(s)**

None at this stage

### **Supporting Information**

1. A petition with 32 signatures has been received from residents, mostly of Minterne Way with a few residing in Swanage Way objecting to proposals for waiting restrictions around the bend in Minterne Way. The 32 signatures represent 12 households in this area, 7 of which are on the outside of the bend where waiting restrictions are proposed.
2. The proposals are indicated on appendix A. These were a consequence from local residents complaining about parking taking place around the bend which caused problems with traffic flow as the forward visibility for drivers is limited. Subsequently, a scheme was developed and statutory consultation carried out between 13<sup>th</sup> January and 3<sup>rd</sup> February this year. For the Cabinet Member's information, a number of representations have been received on these proposals and subsequently a report will be submitted detailing the grounds for objection before the Council makes a final decision.
3. The petition organiser in a covering letter sets down why the petitioners are objecting to the proposals. Briefly these are:
  - (i) The residents have insufficient parking facilities within their own properties and there is no alternative parking spaces available.
  - (ii) Due to lack of on-street parking compared with car ownership in this section of Minterne Way, any change in the amount will create tension amongst neighbours.
  - (iii) Residents want to view their vehicles at all times because of the potential for vehicle crime and ideally want to park in front of their properties.
  - (iv) The street lighting in the area is insufficient to make residents feel confident that they could park some distance from their homes and walk back in safety.
  - (v) The parking deters speeding around this bend
  - (vi) The parking does not cause any hindrance or obstruction to traffic flow.
4. It is suggested the Cabinet Member meets with the petitioners and discusses their problem with parking in this part of Minterne Way. Following this asks officers to take the petition and any further points made at the petition evening into account when preparing the report setting out all representations received from statutory consultation.

## **Financial Implications**

There are none associated with the recommendations to this report. The further report detailing objections to the proposed Traffic Order will contain the financial implications if a recommendation is made that some or all of the proposed restrictions are implemented.

## **EFFECT ON RESIDENTS, SERVICE USERS & COMMUNITIES**

### **What will be the effect of the recommendation?**

To give due consideration to the petitioners concerns with the Council's proposals for waiting restrictions.

### **Consultation Carried Out or Required**

Statutory consultation was carried out for 21 days between 13<sup>th</sup> January and 3<sup>rd</sup> February this year, giving residents and the public the opportunity to object to the Council's proposals.

## **CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS**

### **Legal**

There must be a full consideration of all representations arising including those which do not accord with the officer recommendation. The decision maker must be satisfied that responses from the public are conscientiously taken into account. Officers must ensure there is a full note of the main points discussed at the meeting with the petitioners.

## **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

Petition received 4<sup>th</sup> February 2010